

Autum 2005

Orlean Koehle – President, Doug Thomson - Editor

In This Issue

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| The Safety of Our Daughters | 1 |
| Domestic and Global Spying Unlimited | 1 |
| SMART GROWTH: IS IT IN YOUR TOWN YET | 2 |
| News in Brief | 3 |
| Eagle Forum Voter Guide | 5 |
| Contact List | 7 |

The Safety of Our Daughters

By Orlean Koehle, President, Eagle Forum of California

Proposition 73 is simple and straightforward: It would require a 48-hour waiting period and parental notification from the doctor when a girl seeks to have an abortion. It provides for legal procedures to avoid notification when there is a well-founded fear of abuse.

Those who are opposed to Prop 73 use “safety” of the young girl as their reasoning – which she might be afraid to tell her parents and therefore would resort to an unsafe, illegal abortion.

As the mother of six children, one of whom is a daughter, I would say that parents are more concerned

about the safety of their children than anyone else, much more so than a counselor in an abortion clinic whom the daughter has never met before.

Parents are concerned about the lifetime safety of their daughters and what the long term affects are of the choices their daughters make.

Is allowing teens to be exposed to a dangerous operation that could have a harmful effect on them called “safe?” Even abortions in a licensed clinic have resulted in women bleeding to death.

There is also the emotional health that must be considered. I have heard speakers from a national organization called “Silent No More,”

made up of women who had abortions in their youth and are now speaking out about them. They tell of the feelings of grief and guilt that have followed them all their lives and have caused them and so many other women who have had abortions to have a higher risk of depression, alcohol and drug abuse, marital problems, divorce, and even suicide. I would not wish any of that upon my daughter.

For the true safety and well being of our daughters, please allow parents the right to be notified before their daughter contemplates abortion. **Vote yes on Proposition 73.**

Domestic and Global Spying Unlimited

By Nancy Thomson

The need for monitoring everything and everyone didn't just start with 9-11. In 1952 Truman signed orders to implement the very secret National Security Agency (the NSA.) This organization was so secretive that no one would admit it existed. Thus it was nicknamed the No Such Agency, or the Never Say Anything. With multiple wars and the rapid use of electronics in surveillance the NSA finally opened a small window on its activities. Even members of Congress weren't allowed details on Echelon the Spy in the Sky global satellite run by the NSA.

The huge eavesdropping NSA with its 12 miles of underground computers is headquartered at Fort Meade Maryland. They also have an important office in England. Problems have arisen due to the new chattering masses on cell phones, e-mails, and telephone lines. The Super Spy agency has a backlog of more than 8,000 hours of unreviewed tapes. Could some of this information contain terrorist transcripts? A modernization program is over budget and behind schedule. In addition Microsoft has de-

vised an almost unbreakable computer encryption and fiber-optic cable is harder to tap than the satellite communication traffic. All of these things make it more difficult for the electronic spying personnel at NASA (Orange County Register, 10-3-05)



The CIA, much smaller than the NSA, is implicated in transferring captives to other countries. According to contract documents, the Navy secretly used a 33-plane fleet to carry sus-

(Continued on page 7)

SMART GROWTH: IS IT IN YOUR TOWN YET?

By Susan O'Donnell

Halloween is an appropriate day to be writing about something that scares the living daylights out of most people. It's something that we all wish would just go away. It has arrived on our doorsteps through stealth, and directly threatens something near and dear to us, our homes. The same thing is appearing all over the country, its purveyors trying to sell it to citizens using different names.

In areas of Arizona and New Mexico, they're called "Desert Villages." In Berkeley, this writer's home town, the city council likes "Transit Villages." Other names abound.

If you haven't checked into where your city council stands on "smart growth," it's really time you did. You might be unpleasantly surprised. The situation has been made worse since the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in *Kelo vs. City of New London*, which threatens to make it easier for local governments to use the power of eminent domain to take people's property for use by even private buyers who can produce more taxes for the city.

What are these "transit" or "desert" (or otherwise named) villages? See for yourself at www.transitvillages.org: "The transit village is a compact, mixed-use, walkable community, centered around the transit station that, by design, invites residents, workers, visitors, and shoppers to drive their cars less and ride mass transit more."

In California, several State legislators are promoting three "smart growth" bills that would cut the lo-

cal citizens out of the loop in decisions regarding redevelopment projects.

AB 691 (Assemblywoman Loni Hancock) would enable cities to convert existing redevelopment plans and area-specific plans to "Transit Village" plans with virtually no public participation. The powers of eminent domain would apply.

SB 211 (Torlakson) would change the definition of "blight" to include "the lack of high density housing in a Transit Village." That would make any area of low density, owner-occupied single family



homes, "blighting."

AB 986 (Torricco) would create a regional planning agency comprising the Association of Bay Area Governments and the Bay Area Quality District that would have the authority to establish "regional priority transit-oriented development zones." This new planning group would be authorized to impose property and business taxes on major transit corridors in the Bay Region, to develop specific plans for these corridors, and impose them on local cities.

These three bills in combination with former Assemblyman Tom Bates' 1994 "Transit Village" ena-

bling legislation would make much of my home town, Berkeley, subject to condemnation and taking through eminent domain by the City Council if it voted to develop "Transit Villages."

This being Berkeley, there are lots of old books and periodicals around about the old Soviet Union. One such is *The Soviet Review*. The editors of this journal, International Arts and Sciences Press of New York, translated and printed articles from the Soviet press that were representative of what was being published in the U.S.S.R. The copy I found was from April, 1961. Because my main area of interest is education, I first noted that the then-new Soviet curricula in 1961 sounded suspiciously like the School to Work transformation that was railroaded into American schools in the 1990s. A second set of articles concerned The Future Soviet City. These plans sound much like Smart Growth-planned cities that have been promoted in the last few years.

Here are a few excerpts from the Soviet articles, "What Will Our Future Cities Look Like?" and "The Microdistrict and New Living Conditions":

"Some interesting figures were presented at the International Congress of Architects in Moscow... By the end of the Seven-Year Plan period some 15 million apartment units will have been built in the Soviet Union and of course a corresponding number of schools, kindergartens, motion picture theaters, shops, clubs and other public, cultural and service buildings... The

(Continued on page 3)

(Continued from page 2)

residential district is one of the chief components of any city or town. What are the architects', engineers', and builders' conceptions of new cities?

They will not build streets bordered on both sides by houses, nor will there be any residential houses with inner yards and passages. The public, cultural and catering establishments will also be quite different from what they are now...

A system where each district is divided into residential compounds—microdistricts—with a population of 6,000 to 10,000 has proved the best. Each microdistrict will have one school, two combined

pre-school children's establishments (a kindergarten and a nursery), a food shop, a personal service shop, a cafeteria, club, and building maintenance office.

All the residential houses within a microdistrict are to be grouped in smaller compounds with a population of about 2,000 each. Delivery services and automatic vending machines will provide the residents with foodstuffs, ready-cooked meals, and semi-prepared food. The residents will be able to relax in the recreation hall, entertain guests and have family affairs and children's celebrations, and to do their own work in the house workshops...

To many people in the West the ideal of a comfortable dwelling is a private house with many rooms. "My home is my castle," says the Englishman. This is an eloquent expression of private-property psychology, of goals in bourgeois society...

Even in our country some people believe that in the future our individual living quarters will be equally spacious. Those who do think so are greatly mistaken... After all, not many rooms are required for sleeping, rest and some kind of home occupation during one's free time. And is there any need to preserve in the future all the household functions which we now have? We do not think so..."

News in Brief

Eagle Forum of California members have been very busy the past few months. Here are some highlights.

NEA Convention

Orlean Koehle, state Eagle Forum President, attended the national NEA (National Education Association) convention held in Los Angeles July 2-6. She was asked to attend by Phyllis Schlafly to gather information for the Education Reporter article that Phyllis writes every year about the convention. Orlean was asked to speak on her six days with the NEA at the national Eagle Council in St. Louis, Missouri, September 23-25.

From attending the NEA conference, Orlean learned first hand the liberal viewpoints of the NEA and their idea of "tolerance." Any other views are simply not allowed to be heard. The Conservative Educators Caucus and their "pro-life"

proposals and one on academic freedom were simply "moved to committee" and not allowed to be heard. Orlean also learned about the power, money, and influence the NEA wields over its 2.7 million members and of the enormous amount of money that goes towards political causes and politicians.

Young Teen Eagle named Media Director for Important Political Campaign

Tim Bueller, 18, a Teen Eagle from Sonoma County, president and founder of the Conservative Clubs of America, was asked to be the Media Director for Jim Gilchrist's campaign in Los Angeles. Mr. Gilchrist is a co-founder of the Minute Men Project and is running as an independent with his

main platform -securing our borders.

Chris Simcox, Co-Founder of Minutemen Project, Featured Speaker at Eagle Forum Fundraiser

In honor of their third annual celebration honoring the day the U.S. Constitution was signed into law on September 17, 1787, Eagle Forum of California held a fundraiser and patriotic banquet, "A Candle-Lit Evening in Red, White, and Blue," at the Fountain Grove Inn in Santa Rosa. Special guest speakers for the evening were "Minutemen" co-founder, Chris Simcox, and John Clark, of Americans for Immigration Control. Both men spoke about the problem of invasion on our bor-

ders. John Clark showed parts from two of his documentaries filmed on the borders interviewing people whose lives have been greatly impacted by the influx of illegal aliens. Chris Simcox told of how he got motivated to start some "neighborhood watch" programs on the border to do what the government is refusing to do, secure our borders.

Thank you to the many generous people and businesses who donated items for the auction. Also thanks for the donations of money. Special thanks to our chairman Gary Bosley, M.C. Sharon Hughes and to the Sonoma County Eagle Forum board for all their hard work as well as Eagle Forum state board members who gave generous contributions.

(Continued from page 3)

Young Teen Eagle Takes Top Honors in College Debate Tournament

Jonathan Krive, age 19, home-schooled Teen Eagle leader, who is part of the Santa Rosa Junior College Debate Club won top honors at an inter-collegian debate tournament. Jonathan and his partner won first place in debate. Jonathan then took first place in extemporaneous and second in impromptu.

Jonathan's title for the extemporaneous speech that he just happened to draw out of the hat was "Intelligent Design – What's Up With That?" Fortunately, this is one of Jonathan's favorite topics, one that he has done much research and speaking about. Even though he gave the conservative Christian view, he did it with such skill and wit, the liberal judges could not help but grant him first place.

He was the lone conservative in the competition. He won not only top honors but the respect and admiration of the judges and his fellow students.

Orlean Koehle Represents Conservative View in Debate at SSU

Orlean Koehle participated in a debate concerning the upcoming election propositions, sponsored by the Life-Long Learning Center at Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park, CA. She ended up the lone conservative in the October 8th event. Opposed by two liberals, Pat Wiggins, former Assemblywoman from Santa Rosa, and a lady who heads up the CTA (California Teacher's Association) for the city of Sonoma, she got to speak ten minutes on each of the propositions while the other women had to split their time and could only speak 5 minutes each. This was advantageous for Orlean. Those in the audience who

were her friends felt she "creamed them," but the democrats in the audience probably felt differently about who the winners were. There were a few of them, however, who come up and thanked Orlean and asked for copies of her handouts. Kathleen and Jerry Hill, the liberal democrats who were in charge and served as moderators, complemented her and asked if they could invite her to be the conservative voice on other occasions. They also told her they think she should run for public office. That was quite something coming from democrats.

Save the Date for Upcoming Eagle Forum Education Conference

On February 18 Eagle Forum of California will be hosting its fifth annual education conference with a lineup of outstanding speakers. The conference will be held at the Hyatt Vineyard Creek Hotel in Santa Rosa.

Speakers already confirmed are: Phyllis Schlafly speaking on "Liberal Bias in our Universities," Allen Quist, college professor and author speaking on his latest book, *America's Schools - The Battleground for Freedom. How Education Has Been Restructured and History Has Been Rewritten.* John McMillan, high school biology teacher who has dared to teach "intelligent design" and has survived – will speak on "The Myths of Evolution." Chris Rive, home-school father of five and Teen Eagle leader, "Where Have all the Father's Gone?" – The loss of the patriarchal father in America and its affect on our culture and on our families. Jeff Kats, talk show host for KNEW radio – "The liberal Bias in the Media."

Mark your calendar and tell your friends. A registration form with more information will be coming soon.

LIBERTY

"Most of the labels people use to talk about judges, and the way judges decide [cases] aren't too descriptive... Judges should be judges. They shouldn't be legislators, they shouldn't be administrators... The Supreme Court is an institution that I have long held in reverence. During my 29 years as a public servant, I've had the opportunity to view the Supreme Court from a variety of perspectives—as an attorney in the Solicitor General's Office, arguing and briefing cases before the Supreme Court, as a federal prosecutor, and most recently for the last 15 years as a judge of the Court of Appeals." —Judge Samuel Alito, nominated this date to replace retiring Justice Sandra Day O'Connor

OPINION IN BRIEF

"The American people have made themselves clear: our borders must be secured and our laws must be enforced before any guest worker plan can go into effect... Even with the President's backing, no plan that offers amnesty to illegal aliens will pass this Congress... Slowly, the President's team is coming to realize that they have a political revolt on their hands. And, it's no longer just the conservative base that's angry about illegal immigration—there's widespread discontent about our broken system from coast to coast, from left to right... I sincerely hope the Administration's [recent] language is backed up by action." —Rep. Tom Tancredo

From *The Federalist Patriot*

Eagle Forum Voter Guide for November 8 Ballot

Prepared by Orlean Koehle, State President Eagle Forum of California

YES Prop 73 –Parental Notification:

An abortion would not be allowed until after a 48 hour waiting period and until after parental notification before termination of a minor's pregnancy. This would be a Constitutional Amendment that would mandate reporting requirements. It would authorize monetary damages against physicians who violated these rulings.

Exceptions: medical emergency or parental or court-determined waiver.

Fiscal Impact: Potentially unknown net state costs of several million dollars annually for health and social services programs, the courts, and state administration combined. There could be a potential savings to medicare and medical because of the rate of abortions going down as has taken place in other states with such a ruling.

Supporting Arguments: This measure qualified with more than 1 million signatures. Most parents agree that they would like the right to counsel and care for their young daughters in such a difficult time as an unwanted pregnancy. Similar laws are protecting minors in over thirty states. This is the first time Californians are given a chance to vote on this issue, to vote for parental rights and particularly to help protect young women.

YES Prop 74 –Teacher Tenure:

Extends the time for the probationary period to permanent status (tenure) of teachers to five years instead of two. Presently teachers receive tenure just after two years. Once tenured, if the teacher has two consecutive years of unsatisfactory performance, then the status is re-evaluated and the teacher can be terminated. This measure would also modify the process by which school boards can dismiss a teaching employee who receives two consecutive unsatisfactory performance evaluations.

Fiscal Impact – Unknown net effect on school districts' costs for teacher compensation, performance evaluations, and other activities. Impact would vary significantly by district and depend largely on future district personnel actions.

Supporting Arguments: This would help education by raising the standard for qualified teachers. Good teachers themselves are not threatened by this but are in agreement with it.. They also would like standards raised in their profession. There is no other profession that even grants tenure to its employees. Under the present system it is extremely hard and costly to remove a tenured teacher no matter how incompetent. No other job has such security.

YES Prop 75 - Public Employee Union Dues or Paycheck Protection:

Puts restrictions on public employees union dues so they will not be used for political contributions without individual employee's prior consent. Excludes contributions benefiting charities or employees. Requires unions to maintain and, upon request, report member political contributions to Fair Political Practices Commission.

Fiscal Impact: Probably minor state and local government implementation costs, offset in part by revenues from fines and or fees.

Arguments for: It is the employee's money. It only makes sense that he or she should give permission as to how those dues will be used. It is estimated that almost 40% of union members are Republicans but their dues are being used for far left candidates and political causes without their consent. What the CTA, California Teacher's Union is doing right now to fight this measure is a very good example of abuse of power and why this measure is necessary. They have raised the teacher's dues by \$60 for the next three years and mortgaged their CTA building to raise millions of dollars to fight this measure, all done without any of their teachers' permission.

YES Prop 76 - Limits on Spending:

State spending is limited to prior year's level plus three previous year's average growth. It changes minimum school funding requirements (Prop 98). Permits the governor, under specified circumstances to reduce budget appropriations at his choosing. Reductions could apply to schools and shift costs to other local governments.

Arguments For: It would protect against future deficits and eliminate wasteful spending, making more money available for roads, healthcare, and law enforcement without raising taxes. It would establish "checks and balances" and encourage bipartisan budget solutions. State expenditures would be subject to an additional spending limit based on an average of recent revenue growth. Formulas for spending increases are removed. The governor is authorized to direct the legislature or himself to reduce spending if state revenues fall short to cover budgeted expenses, proportionate or at his discretion. Allocation of possible revenue surpluses are divided among areas of the budget pre-determined by established expenditure priorities, including reserves.

YES Prop 77 - Redistricting:

This would allow congressional and state redistricting to be done by a panel of three retired judges rather than legislators as it now is. It would be a Constitutional Amendment. What does this mean and why is it necessary? For decades incumbents have been able to be reelected because the governor and legislators were the ones drawing up the districts when reapportionment occurred. The districts were drawn to their benefit. Some were grossly gerrymandered, drawn out, having unrelated areas far-removed from each

(Continued on page 6)

(Continued from page 5)

other, making representation irregular and communication unsatisfactory. Unless this initiative passes there won't be any real changes in politics in California until the next reapportionment in 2011, and who knows if any changes would be made then, again depending on which party is in charge.

Arguments for: Accountability: Prop 77 would make politicians accountable to the people. It would guarantee fair, competitive elections by ensuring voters would have the final say on voting districts – not politicians. It would reduce special interest influence and hold politicians accountable to their constituents. It would allow fair districts and real competition. Firm Set of Rules would be established for the line-drawers to follow so that the districts will be common-sense collections of communities rather than grotesque misshapen works of art as they presently are – when the politicians go on the hunt to find a neighborhood of friendly voters. The rules would require that counties and cities be kept together whenever possible, that districts be as compact as possible, and that past election results and voter registration data not be used to help shape the boundaries. The Federal Voting Rights Act would apply as an umbrella to protect the interests of ethnic communities.

NO Prop 78 - Discounts on Prescription Drugs :

Provides for prescription drugs at discounts of 40% for millions of seniors and low income uninsured Californians. It would authorize the department of Health Services to contract with participating pharmacies for discounts and with participating drug manufacturers for rebates. **Costs:** State costs for administration and outreach could be in the millions to tens of millions. State costs for advance funding for rebates. There could be an unknown significant savings for state and county health programs.

Voting yes on this means: A new state drug discount program would be created to reduce the costs that certain residents of the state, including persons in families with an income at or below 300% of the federal poverty level, would pay for prescription drugs purchased at pharmacies.

Voting No would mean: The state would not expand its drug discount program beyond an existing state program that assists elderly and disabled persons on Medicare.

Arguments Against: It is sponsored by the prescription drug companies. It is a costly measure that is going to bring California into more debt when we are already in such great debt and closer to socialized medicine.

NO Prop 79 - Prescription Drugs Discounts,

State-Negotiated Rebates, Initiative Statute : Provides enforceable deeper discounts on prescription drugs for more people with state-negotiated drug manufacturer rebates. Prohibits Medi-Cal contracts with manufacturers not providing Medicaid best price.

Cost: Could be in the low tens of millions. State costs for advance funding for rebates. There could be net costs of savings for Medi-cal and savings for state and county health programs.

Voting Yes would mean: A new state drug discount program would be created to reduce the costs that certain residents of the state, including persons in families with an income at or below 400 percent of the federal poverty level, would pay for prescription drugs purchased at pharmacies. The new program would be linked to Medi-cal for the purpose of obtaining rebates on drugs.

Arguments Against: It can't deliver what it promises. It is based on a failed program in Maine that never took effect. Prop 79 would create a big government bureaucracy costing millions. Worse trial lawyers can file 1000s of frivolous lawsuits. Again, California does not need more debt or more socialized medicine.

NO Prop 80 - Electric Service Providers:

Subjects electric service providers to regulation by the California Public Utilities Commission. Restricts electric customers ability to switch from private utilities to other providers. Requires all retail electric sellers to increase renewable energy resource procurement by 2010. **Costs:** Potential administrative costs - ranging from negligible to \$4 million, paid by fees. Unknown net impact on state and local costs and revenues from uncertain impact on electricity rates. **Argument Against:** Prop 80 is a high risk, anti-consumer approach to California's energy future. It limits energy from solar and geothermal resources. It won't lower electric bills, won't prevent blackouts, and eliminates consumer choice. It is poorly written and won't do what it says it will do.

NO Measure M (for Sonoma County) - Banning of GMO: This ordinance would ban for ten years in the County of Sonoma the raising, growing, propagation, cultivation, sale, or distribution of genetically engineered organisms with certain narrow exceptions. This sounds good on the surface, but after doing much research, Eagle Forum of Sonoma County has come out against it. We have attended the pro-Measure M meetings and met and listened to their extreme liberal and radical environmental speakers. We have also listened to those opposed to it, mainly farmers, grape growers, dairy owners, and the farm bureau. We have examined the ordinances from other counties where such a policy is in place.

Our conclusion is: Measure M has more to do with power and control than with health issues. It would set up a regulatory board who are not our elected officials and cannot be voted out of office. This board would have power to regulate over the farmers every move. It would be an attack on farmers' freedoms, property rights and the free enterprise system.

For more information go to: www.familyfarmersalliance.com.



(Continued from page 1)

pects in Europe to countries such as Egypt where torture is allowed. While most of the focus was on the CIA, it became apparent that the U.S. military was also involved. Italy has issued arrest warrants for 19 CIA operatives who are accused of kidnapping a Muslim from an Italian town and flying him to Cairo. Some of the secret Navy plane locations such as Las Vegas Nevada, Smithfield North Carolina, and two sites in Florida are known, but others are not (Seth Hettena, AP, Orange County Register, 9-25-05)

China secretly tried to buy U.S. electronic equipment to intercept our satellite data sent to ground stations. This order came from the highest level of the Chinese government. However two Chinese stole the money intended to be used for the purchase, embarrassing their communist leaders. China's intelligence service (MSS) has told its spy agents in the U.S. to find the two men and liquidate them and their families (Bill Gertz, Washington Times; 9-25-

05)

Meanwhile back in the U.S., the Pentagon's Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) wants permission to approach Americans for information without revealing they are military spies. Both the CIA and FBI are exempt from disclosing their identity when questioning Americans, but the military was not. The DIA recruits spies in other countries and maintains an overseas intelligence -gathering network. Now congress is in the process of granting the Pentagon cover over spying on U.S. citizens (Greg Miller, Los Angeles Times; 10-1-05)

As the Pentagon seeks authority over civilians, trouble is brewing in their own upper ranks. There are many influential Israeli lobbying groups in Washington, the most important is the American Israel Public Affairs Committee known as AIPAC. A former Pentagon analyst, Lawrence Franklin, pleaded guilty to passing secrets to Israel via this organization. During meetings in restaurants, health clubs and other places, Franklin relayed secret infor-


mation to two AIPAC employees. One of these employees was AIPAC'S research director for two decades, the other a top expert on Iran. The Franklin indictment also included passing information to an undisclosed Israeli Embassy official in Washington.


Franklin worked under neocon Douglas Feith, ranked third in control of the Pentagon. Feith has since resigned.

In our area millions are being spent by the Los Angeles Transportation Authority to place cameras on the subway and light rail. These monitoring devices will have high -resolution cameras. Zooming in on suspicious people, the entire fleet of 225 transportation vehicles will be provided with cameras inside. The general public is unaware how often they are being monitored in their cars and are under surveillance in public places.

Eagle Forum of California Contact List

- State President: Orlean Koehle (707)539-8393 caleagle@sbcglobal.net.
- Vice President of Issues/Director of Orange County: Bonnie O'Neil (949) 645-4450 <boneil7@adelphia.net>.
- Director of Long Beach/Programs for Southern California: Jeanne Goodin (714) 969-4758 goodin@mindspring.com.
- Vice President of Programs: Joyce Hodgkinsen (707) 578-7227 wj@quixnet.com.
- Secretary and Director of Home Study Programs: Sandee Beckers (805) 568-1513 eaforum@yahoo.com
- Treasurer/Membership: Mary Wolbert. dmwolbert@earthlink.net (707) 544-9662
- Newspaper Editors and Web Master: Nancy and Doug Thomson 714) 637-3019 dhthomson@earthlink.net.
- Media Specialist: Sharon Hughes 707) 778-7785 hughesglobalnet2@attbi.com.
- Director of Sacramento and Gay Issues: Georgiana Preskar (916) 685-5167 georgiana16@comcast.com.
- Director of Los Altos and Vaccine Issues: Pam Bryant (650)948-9551 <pamelabryant@earthlink.net>.
- Director of Humboldt County: Sonja Hauxwell (707) 668-5260, <deetbc@quick.com>.
- Education: Karen Holgate (916)650-5128, KLHolgate@aol.com,
- Susan O'Donnell (510)27-4430, jsood@aol.com,
- Sharon Kientz (559)855-8422 steelekientz@psnw.com.
- Voter Integrity: Sharon Arata (925) 837-8426 <marata01@sbcglobal.net>.
- Eco-Abuse: Eilene Amos (707) 442-4834 smileygop@aol.com.
- National Defense/Security: Nancy Thomson 714) 637-3019 dhthomson@earthlink.net
- Life Issues: Bob and Bev Cielnecky (714) 963-4753 LifePriority@cs.com prolife@crusadeforlife.org
- Home Schooling: (707)763-4584 Aimay Krive, ckrive@attbi.com.
- Teen Eagle Leader Chris Krive ckrive@attbi.com
- Collegian Student Rep: Leah Conde 707-322-7569
- Director Indian Gaming Opposition: Lynn Conde707-322-7569 lynconde@hotmail.com
- Legislative Director Angela Azavedo (916)693-6183 amazavedo1@juno.com
- Immigration Issues Gary Bosley <gbinrb@aol.com>





Eagle Forum of California
PO Box 5335

Don't forget to vote on
Nov. 8



Remember November 11 is
Veterans' Day - If you love
freedom thank a veteran.