



In This Issue

**“Danger,
Sellout
Ahead,”** 1

2

**Under the
Dome** 3

4

**From the Desk
of** 5

**A CLASS
ACTION
LAWSUIT** 6

**President’s
Report** 7

**Amnesty for
20 million** 8

**The Basis of
International** 9

**National
Outsourcing
and
Insourcing** 10

**Mental health
services and
the Virginia** 11

“Danger, Sellout Ahead,” Report on Sixth Annual Education Conference

by Orlean Koehle
“You had simply a marvelous conference! I was proud to be there,” such were the words of national president and founder of Eagle Forum, Phyllis Schlafly, in a phone message the day after Eagle Forum of California’s Sixth Annual Education Conference, held at the Double Tree Hotel in Rohnert Park, CA, on March 31.

Other comments were: “This was one of the best conferences yet,” Dr. Michael Goodin, husband of Long Beach chapter leader, Jeanne Goodin. “It was outstanding. I couldn’t believe how smoothly it all went with so many speakers and so much good information. There was no wasted time.”

Said Dee Thompson of Contra Costa County.

The all-day conference was attended by a sellout crowd of 165 people. Some people had to be turned away since the room capacity was only 160.



The theme was “Danger, Sellout Ahead,” and the day’s events were divided into three groups of panels, where each of the 15 speakers shared some aspect of American life that is now in danger:

Panel 1 - The Sellout of our Schools Through Liberal Indoctrination

The first panel consisted of speakers who told of the one-sided liberal education that most of our young people are receiving both in public schools and colleges. Here is a brief synopsis of the panel members topics:

Jonathan Ashbach, a young 16-year old home schooled budding writer, who has had ten opt-ed articles published in the Eureka Reporter newspaper, told of what it is like standing alone as a “Lone Conservative in a Sea of Liberalism,” in his county of Humboldt. His articles are so well written the newspaper can’t reject them and seems to appreciate the flurry of “letters to the editors” that his articles incite. Jonathan was honored later that evening at the banquet as the recipient of Eagle Forum’s Courageous Student Award.”

Susan O’Donnell told us where much of that liberal indoctrination is coming from and what their goals are in her report on “International Education and World Citizenship.” She told us how students today are being indoctrinated more and more into believing that the best form of government would be where nationalism no longer exists and we are all citizens of the world. A one-page synopsis of her research is published in this edition of the Sentinel.

Holly Swanson spoke about her book, *Set Up and Sold Out*, how she first became aware of the green movement, the fear tactics and lies that are being used to promote it, and the blatant environmental indoctrination that is going on in our public schools, where students only get to hear what the green philosophy is. No other viewpoint is allowed.

Orlean Koehle spoke about the Islam Indoctrination now in our public schools, how it has come in through the back door of “multiculturalism” and has now replaced the Judeo-Christian heritage upon which our nation was founded and which used to be taught as one of the main elements of American education. Orlean shared her experiences having to teach Islam in the public schools for a 7th grade world history unit and gave examples of the one-sided promotion of Islam, the downgrading of Christianity, the distortions of truth that she witnessed written in the textbook and the unbelievable suggested activities recommended for the teacher in the classroom.

Phyllis Schlafly spoke in the morning about her latest book, *the Supremacists*, our out-of-control Judiciary, who think that they are not only supposed to interpret the law, but legislate it and execute it as well. They are trying to take over all three branches of government.

Senator Tom McClintock was the special luncheon speaker. He spoke about the unjust Eminent Domain takings that are going on across our nation now with the Kelo decision by the Supreme

(Continued on page 2)

(Continued from page 1)

Court that essentially makes it very easy for government to take private property under the excuse of redevelopment so the city or county can then get a higher tax revenue coming in. That is what is now considered for the “public good.” He spoke about other aspects of property rights that are in danger, even your important papers, stocks, bonds, precious coins that you have in a safety deposit box in the bank. If you have not checked on them in three years time, they can now be confiscated by the government. Following his speech, Orlean Koehle presented him with a beautiful wooden plaque with an eagle on it and with the words “Eagle Forum of California’s Statesman of the Year Award for 2007.”

Panel 2 - The Sellout of Property Rights Issues

Dan and Margaret Byfield from Texas spoke about their battle Fighting the Trans Texas Corridor (TTC) that is planned to go across the middle of Texas from the Mexican border at Laredo. Trucks and cars would be free to cross the border with no stopping, coming and going. It would continue on through Mexico to the port of Lazaro Cardenas. On the Northern end of Texas, the corridor would go on through Oklahoma to Kansas City, Missouri, which would be the official port of entry, where trucks would finally be inspected. However, it will be a quick electronic inspection, done by a huge electrical scanner that will go over the trucks, reading the bar codes or RFID chips inside the merchandise. And who will be running the port and the inspection? The Mexican government. That is like the fox being in charge of the hen house. The trucks will then be free to go on their way all the way to Winnipeg, Canada.

This corridor is part of the planned NAFTA Superhighway systems, which would be built supposedly to facilitate the incoming and outgoing of goods for trade. By coming from the port in Mexico, trucks can receive the containers coming from Chinese ships and not have to pay the expensive longshoremen fees that they would have to pay in ports in California. They would have cheap goods made in China, brought in with cheap labor in Mexico..

The TTC would be enormous resulting in many eminent domain takings of property. It would be 1,200 feet wide (about the width of four football fields lined up side to side), 521 miles long, and would occupy 5,307 square miles as it crosses the state. It would have six lanes for cars, four lanes for trucks, include a wide area for railroad tracks, pipelines for oil and water, and cables for electricity and telecommunication. The plans are to have access and exits to the highway only every 20 miles. For those farmers and ranchers whose property has been split in half by this giant highway, to be able to get to the other side of their farm to take care of their livestock, etc., it would be a 40 mile journey there and back.

The Byfields reported that this enormous highway would displace about one million property owners through eminent domain takings. They had to rely on the Freedom of Information Act to even find out where the highway was being planned on the map



of Texas. They discovered that their own property is just one mile away from where it would be going through in their area. They said that there has been much secrecy and deception in how this has evolved. Those pushing it were able to attach the authorization for this mammoth highway at the last minute onto a transportation bill back in 2003 that was so big no one had time to read it. The plans were then promoted and furthered by Governor Perry with very few people even knowing it was part of the transportation bill.

Public/Private Partnerships – Along with the new Super Corridor concept comes a new concept in financing. In December of 2004, the Texas Department of Transportation “partnered” with the Spanish firm, Cintra-Zachry, who will invest \$6 billion in construction of the first segment of the Super Corridor. They will then turn it into a toll road, charging 15 cents a mile and will own the tollroad for the first 50 years. They are very proud

of this now and even have their own website. (North America’s Super Corridor Coalition, Inc. NASCO <http://www.nascocorridor.com/pages/about/about.htm>.)

Texas Corridor Moratorium Victory! A few weeks after our conference, we received word from Cathie Adams, the Texas Eagle Forum President, that the Texas Senate passed the Texas Corridor Moratorium Bill, 27 to 4 in the Senate, and the House passed it 139 to 2. The bill puts a two-year moratorium on public/private toll roads, which puts a significant road block in front of the Trans-Texas Corridor (TTC). Thank goodness, the Texas people are now waking up to this egregious deception of the TTC and are outraged, standing up against it. Cathie said that thousands came to speak out against it at hearing that the state Senate held.

Maria Donnelley spoke about the battle that she and others have been fighting here in Sonoma County locally against the General Plan 2020, which essentially is “regulatory takings,” inflicting on property owners such regulations that they finally just give up and become “willing sellers,” selling out cheap. She told of how government policy protecting individual rights is no longer in effect. The government no longer believes in the individual, just the “common or public good.” She told of the organization that she is the Vice President of, Sonoma County Land Rights Coalition, and what they are doing to try to fight the General Plan 2020 and stand up for property rights.

Panel 3 - The Sellout of Morals and Marriage in America

Pastor David Miller, the Episcopalian Pastor, who left his church because of their new policy of accepting gays into the priesthood, spoke about the attack that is now going on in the churches concerning morality and marriage. That evening Pastor Miller and his family received the Pastor of the Year and Family of the Year Award because of his courage to do what he did and his family’s standing beside him.

Randy Thomason, President of Campaign for Children and Families, well known for leading the charge to protect traditional

(Continued on page 3)

(Continued from page 2)

marriage in California, spoke about the attack on marriage in our state through legislation, the media, and our schools and what we can possibly do to try to fight it.

Dr. Steven Crane, a Christian medical doctor, who takes a stand against abortion, spoke about the Sanctity of Life and the link between abortion and Euthanasia. When people no longer believe in protecting the lives of the innocent unborn, then it is easier to no longer believe in protecting the lives of the elderly.

Sharon Hughes, talk show host for KDIA Radio, "Changing World Views, 1640 A.M. from 4:30 to 5:00 weekday afternoons, who also served as the MC for the events, spoke on the subject of "The Dangers of the Emergence of the Religious Political Left, where she told about the deception that is going on as the liberal left is infiltrating and influencing our churches. Traditional Christian Churches that tended to be conservative, standing strong for moral values are becoming more lax, apathetic, or are becoming more accepting and even politically involved in promoting immorality.

Barbara Simpson, weekend talk show host for KSFO Radio, San Francisco, served as the M.C. for the evening banquet spoke on "The Sellout of America through Media Silence." She told of the many important issues that the liberal media choose to remain silent on, such as down playing the enormous problem of illegal immigration and what that is doing to our nation. They choose to remain silent on the NAFTA Superhighway, the se-

cretive Security and Prosperity Partnership which is preparing the way for the North American Union. Barbara was recognized for the courage she has to take on these issues even when other talk show hosts remain silent on them. For this reason, she was honored and received a golden microphone, with the words engraved upon it, Eagle Forum of California's "Excellence in Broadcasting Award, 07.

Phyllis Schlafly was the final speaker at the evening banquet and spoke on the "Sellout of Our US Sovereignty Through a North American Union (NAU). She told about a CFR (Council on Foreign Relations) letter in 2005, announcing the plan to have a North American Union by the year 2010. She told about the Security and Prosperity Partnership (SPP) between Mexico, Canada and the United States that was created to help prepare the way for the NAU, and how in their own words they mention one of the purposes of the SPP is to "harmonize" the laws of all three countries. Why would you do that is you are not planning on a whole new Constitution for all three countries.

Phyllis urged us to continue to call our congressmen and state legislators urging them to sign onto a resolution that already exists expressing opposition to the NAFTA Superhighway, the SPP, and anything that leads up to the formation of the NAU.

(Continued on page 9)

Under the Dome

By Angela Azevedo, Legislative Director

What is at Stake in the California Republican Party?

In September, the CRP Platform Committee will meet and decide on the contents for the party platform. What is at stake, you ask? Only some of our most core beliefs, the sanctity of life, traditional family values and marriage between a man and a woman. Those three issues are in jeopardy of being removed, or drastically changed so that the Republican Party embraces homosexual marriage, or removing the pro-life plank of the party platform to assume the same position Rudy Guiliani has been espousing lately - he hates abortion, but still wants to maintain a woman's right to choose.

The Republican Party has become infiltrated with radical homosexuals belonging to the Log Cabin Republicans. They claim to be pro-life and fiscally conservative, but are definitely in support of homosexual marriage. At the recent Judiciary Committee hearing for Mark Leno's homosexual marriage bill (AB 43) a Log Cabin Republican testified in support of the bill. The Log Cabin member, James Wallen, gave the following testimony at the April 10th hearing: "My name is James Wallen, with the Log Cabin Republicans. I'm here representing the views of younger republicans in support of the bill. In talking with a straight conservative woman she stated you don't have to hate gay people to be a conservative."

Why the Republican Party Platform Matters.

In the past few months I have been wrestling with an issue that has weighed heavily on my heart. What it would be like if the Republican party platform didn't exist? What if being a Republican didn't mean standing to resist the efforts of the liberals in the Democrat Party to redefine the traditional family ... the only stable relationship upon which to build a society? That the well-being of children is best accomplished in the environment of the home, nurtured by their mother and father anchored by the bonds of marriage?

I am particularly bothered by the surfacing of a radio interview by the president of the Log Cabins of Sacramento. In August of 2004, the president of the Log Cabin Republicans of Sacramento, Jeff Scott, participated in an interview on Sacramento's radio station KFBK. In that interview Jeff Scott responded to the question regarding the Republican party platform - approved by the Party against gay marriage. He stated, "no one reads the [party] platform, so who cares?" And he goes on to say that, "I don't give the platform a whole lot of credence basically."

The reason why? The talking points link on the Log Cabins of Sacramento website outlines six strategies to combat the conservative core values by calling those core values "a veil for hate". They believe they need to instill tolerance and acceptance within the Republican party to justify their lifestyle. To do anything less violates their "civil rights." I get really angry when I see a life-

(Continued on page 4)

(Continued from page 3)

style choice equated with race. A black person cannot change the color of his skin [unless your Michael Jackson], but homosexuals can change their lifestyle choice.

The following are talking point taken from their website under the title of “Why its OK to be a Gay Republican” 1. They belong in the Republican party because they share our conservative beliefs of limited government, strong national defense, lower taxes, personal responsibility, and free markets. The Democrat party is just the opposite on those issues. Then they add, “We can be true to our conservative principles while working to make the GOP more inclusive and tolerant.” The next talking points give their plans to make the GOP more inclusive and tolerant. How? “2. Defeat the radical right, because “they represent the last obstacle to full equality and liberty.” 3. Change the party from the inside. “The GOP must be transformed one person at a time, across America on the grassroots level by gay Republicans and their fair-minded allies.” 4. Continue to infiltrate both parties. “Achieving total equality will take decades longer unless our community has strong allies in both parties.” 5. Transform the GOP like we did the Democrat Party. This talking point is very revealing, essentially giving themselves and their allies credit for the successful infiltration that has already taken place inside the Democrat Party: “We are doing important work to the transform the GOP, bringing about the same grassroots change that happened in the Democratic Party over the last three decades. We applaud the gay and lesbian activists who worked so hard to change the Democratic Party-making it more inclusive and tolerant. 25 years ago, had gay and lesbian members left the party, then the Democrats would not be where they are today on issues of equality and fairness. We are now doing similar work in the GOP.” 6. “The history of the Republican Party makes it a natural ally of equality.” They try to justify what they are doing with the history of the GOP and its birth with President Lincoln. “When President Abraham Lincoln issued the Emancipation Proclamation, he created a new birth of freedom. So it can be again. Nearly 150 years later-the GOP has another chance to choose fairness over discrimination, equality over bigotry, freedom over fear.”

Clearly their intent is to “change the party from the inside.” And nothing could be more evident than what is happening within the Sacramento County Republican Party. They are positioning themselves within the party to attempt to eliminate the voices of the pro-family conservatives calling us the “radical right”. No doubt they are chomping at the bit to change the party platform ... because no one reads it, or cares. While we are asleep at the helm (or are we?), they will infiltrate and strip away what it really means to be a Republican based on the party’s core values, so that the party is nothing more than the Democrat party with a Republican name.

I’d have to say it absolutely is an oxymoron to call yourself a gay Republican. How can you call yourself fiscally conservative, when you opposed the recall of former Governor Gray Davis who was recalled for fiscal mismanagement? It’s well-known that Davis was a “homosexual friendly” governor and he gave them all the legislative candy. Then when President George W. Bush mentioned the Federal Marriage Amendment, they placed inflammatory information on their website against the President. When [former] Sacramento County Republican Party chairman Donna

Schalansky got wind of it, they were told to change their website. Recent information has surfaced that a copy of the [previous] website has been obtained so we can see the hypocrisy behind the homosexual Republicans.

Ask yourself the following questions: If you’re a Republican, how important is the party platform to you? Does the party platform really matter? Are you willing to take a stand to protect the Republican party platform and YOUR core values? Please let your Republican representatives know how much the platform means to you and you do not want it changed.

Important Legislative Updates

On Scary Bills Going Through California’s Legislature: Eagle Forum is opposed to all of these. Please contact your legislators and ask them to vote against them.

Also contact the governor and urge him to please veto any of these which end up on his desk. Some are coming very close to being passed in all the committees and by both assembly and senate.

SB 777- Homosexual Indoctrination in Schools (Kuehl).

Almost identical to last session’s highly controversial SB 1437, this legislation would ban any textbooks, teaching or activities in schools that “reflect or promote a discriminatory bias against” homosexuals, transgenders, bisexuals, and those with gender (perceived or actual) issues.

AB 14 - Homosexual ‘Discrimination’ (Laird)

This legislation would grant more special privileges to homosexuals by enacting the “Civil Rights Act of 2007.” According to the author, there are 51 areas of anti-discrimination law that are inconsistent and don’t protect the special class of sexual orientation. AB 14 would tie these 51 areas of the law to the Unruh Civil Rights Act and therefore expand “anti-discrimination” protections for homosexuals. AB 14 is yet another way for radical homosexual activists to enact laws that will invariably result in reverse discrimination against religious and traditional moral citizens.

SB 840-Universal Healthcare (Kuehl)

This bill would establish the California Universal Healthcare System (CUHS) under which all California residents would be eligible for specified health care benefits. The CUHS would, on a single payer basis, negotiate for or set fees for health care services provided through the system, and pay claims for those services. The bill would also establish various boards and offices, with duties as specified, related to the administration of the system. The bill would also establish the Universal Healthcare Policy Board, to establish goals and priorities for the system, establish the scope of services to be provided to patients, and establish guidelines for evaluating the performance of the system, its officers, the health planning regions and providers. These guidelines would include measures to ensure public input.

AB 16 - Mandating STD Immunizations for Children (Hernandez)

Prohibits, commencing July 1, 2009, a pupil from being unconditionally admitted to any public or private school or child care unless prior to his or her first admission he or she has been fully immunized, as specified. Specifically, this bill: 1) Repeals exist-

(Continued on page 5)

(Continued from page 4)

ing laws relating to the full immunization of persons prior to admission into private and public institutions including specified child care facilities. 2) Declares that the Legislature does not intend to relinquish its responsibility on the matter of immunization requirements and will continue oversight of this process through legislative and budgetary mechanisms, as necessary. 3) Prohibits a governing authority, as defined, of a private or public elementary or secondary school, child care center, day nursery, nursery school, or family day care home from unconditionally admitting a pupil unless, prior to his or her first admission to that institution, he or she has been fully immunized. 4) Defines "fully immunized" as the pupil has been vaccinated in accordance with recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), as specified. 5) Requires all vaccines recommended by ACIP after January 1, 2006, to be approved by the Public Health Officer (PHO) after consultation with the California Conference of Local Health Officers (CCLHO) and specifies that the PHO must find that the vaccines are safe and necessary for the protection of public health before the vaccines become a requirement for pupil immunization. 6) Ensures that no vaccines will be mandated in California until at least 5 years after the ACIP recommendation.

AB 102 - Marriage Licenses for Domestic Partners (Ma)

Enacts the Name Equality Act of 2007 (Act), which among other things allows one party or both parties to a marriage or reg-

istered domestic partnership to change their surname by entering the new surname on either a marriage license application or certificate of registered domestic partnership. Specifically, this bill : 1) Provides that parties to a marriage or registered domestic partnership, shall not be required either to have the same name or change his/her surname. 2) Allows a person to adopt any surname including, but not limited to, the surname of the other spouse or domestic partner, any former surname of either spouse or domestic partner, a name combining into a single surname all or a segment of the surname or any former surname of either spouse or domestic partner, or a hyphenated combination of surnames. 3) Provides that an election by a person to change his or her name by entering the new surname on the marriage license application, or certificate of registered domestic partnership, shall serve as a record of the surname change. 4) Provides that a copy of a marriage license or certificate of registered domestic partnership shall be accepted as identification establishing a surname. 5) Provides that the adoption of a new surname, or the choice not to adopt a new surname shall not abrogate the right of either party to adopt a different surname through usage at a future date, or to petition the superior court for a change of name. 6) Adds information about the Act to the list of items that are to be included in the brochure to be distributed by the State Department of Public Health Services (DPH) to marriage license applicants. 7) Makes technical changes incorporating the provisions of the Act. 8) Makes findings and declarations relating to the Act.

From the Desk of Georgiana Preskar Director Eagle Forum of Sacramento

Enough is enough! Things have gone too far. Imagine a Congress that would give "sexual orientation" priority over the aged, military, disabled, and even women. Most of our representatives voted for the Hate Crime Bill even though other "special" classifications were not allowed in the Bill. Obviously, sexual orientation rights were the purpose of the Bill and not safety; laws against crime already protect homosexuals.

This is a wonderful example of the inequality of the supposed equality that homosexuals are preaching. Take a look at what happened to Capitol Resource Institute (CRI). Because the Pro-Family movement speaks for the equality of all people, Equality California that sponsored the California "Tolerance" Bill has sent a threatening letter to CRI. Accusations were ugly toward Karen England, Director of CRI, who spoke against the Bill on the Fox News Channel last week. Where is the tolerance the homosexuals support in their Bill?

This is exactly what is happening in the schools and the workplace. There is no tolerance or equal right toward those who think and speak out in a contrary fashion. People are tired of it!

After four years of trying to get the SEED program out of Elk Grove and other districts, I finally came to the conclusion that it

is almost impossible to rid schools of diversity programs and thinking. However, I know that "all" people are entitled to the "enforced" diversity rights, not just a select few.

Thus, Teri Lawrence, Eagle Forum of Sacramento Education Director, and I have initiated a Class Action Lawsuit, which is attached. We are well prepared to go to the Supreme Court if necessary.

This is a chance for you to make a difference. We have over 200 signatures. We want even more signatures before we file; signatures can, however, be added later. The potential is great for thousands of signatures. People are eager to sign. We need your help! Be a part of this exciting adventure.

Freedom of religion and speech are disappearing fast. Please read the attached document and let me know if you are interested. We welcome any publicity or attention you can draw to this complaint, as well as added signatures. I will send you the complaint forms and copies of the document. Anyone in California can sign this complaint, except those under 18 years of age.

IT'S TIME TO ACT!

A CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT

Georgiana Preskar and Teri Lawrence have initiated a class action lawsuit against the State of California and Department of Education. We want as many participants as possible. There is no monetary cost to you. The allegations filed and reliefs sought are listed below. It is a summary of the case. The final one is more detailed. Many adults, children, and families are affected and offended personally, religiously, and financially by diversity education. You can make a difference by participating in this class action lawsuit.

Please keep this sheet. Sign the complaint and fax it to (916) 684-9892. You can also mail it to Georgiana Preskar, P.O. Box 1081, Elk Grove, CA. 95759-1081. Eagle Forum of Sacramento would appreciate your taking extra copies and sharing this with other people. If you have questions, please call (916) 685-5167.

Allegations

The State of California under certain Federal and State Laws and specific California Education Codes requires schools to "create environments free of discriminatory attitudes and practices;" one remedy is through diversity education for Kindergarten, grades 1-12, state and community colleges and in-service diversity education for teachers, staff, and administration.

The plaintiffs herein allege that safe environments are not afforded people of European descent, Christian and Jewish religions, and American heritage, due to diversity methodology and curriculum that "enforce" rigid attitudes and practices that encourage harassment, bullying, and silencing of people with contrary viewpoints.

The plaintiffs herein allege that diversity enforcement promotes shaping of "attitudes and practices" of a state approved belief system that insists on tolerance and equal value, not of people, but of ideas, values, morals, ethics, and lifestyles. This automatically discriminates against the equality of people who hold contrary, closely held beliefs and viewpoints, including the Judeo-Christian faith.

The plaintiffs herein allege that discriminatory diversity education promotes a fearful and coercive atmosphere within the classroom that sets up transformation of students' and adults' previously held beliefs into ideas, values, morals, and lifestyles that affect negatively our citizen and family rights, school and

community environments, and national security and American patriotism.

The plaintiffs herein further allege that the requirements of enforced diversity education and in-service training infringe upon our Constitutional rights to free speech under the First Amendment, our liberties under the Ninth Amendment, which are denied and disparaged, and life and liberty under the Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Law.

Relief Sought

Plaintiffs ask the Court to immediately demand discontinuance of any and all diversity classes, including in-service diversity education allowing accreditation, in every public school in the State of California until the above discrimination policies have been stopped.

In the alternative, plaintiffs ask that diversity education and in-service diversity programs include the following:

School districts throughout California shall protect individual rights by promoting equality of all people, but not demanding equality of ideas, values, morals, ethics, lifestyles, and religions which is viewpoint discrimination

Freedom of speech given to all people to promote American citizenship and patriotism, and to express, believe, and think closely held personal beliefs and viewpoints, without verbal assault, discrimination, or conviction of a hate crime or incident, without sufficient evidence or intent to deem it one.

School districts must adhere to federal and state laws and California Education Codes and stop teaching diversity principles through educational material that includes the Wheel of Oppression, anti-American and anti-Judeo-Christian propaganda, classicism, questionnaires, and victimization and white privilege classes that are discriminatory and coercive of students and staff.

In the alternative, plaintiffs demand that the Court grant tax vouchers to parents for every school age child, in the full amount that is currently paid to the local public school, so that that parent may exercise his or her parental rights to place their child in a school of their choice so that they may raise their child with values, morals, ethics and lifestyles they so desire.

(Continued from page 7)

unemployment and more debt, and the member nations have lost their sovereignty, autonomy, independence and so many freedoms in the process. Is that what we want for our nation? No!

Please have the courage to speak out on this issue, let your friends and neighbors know what is going on. Pass on to them our newsletter, the CDs from our Eagle Forum conference, the Phyllis Schlafly Report and whatever information you have on this subject. Wear your buttons that say No NAU. They are great conversation starters on this subject. Call and write your legislators and congressmen. They cannot ignore us or this subject anymore if there are thousands of Americans contacting them every day demanding for them to sign onto a resolution or enact legislation against this.

President's Report: "Government by Stealth"

Orlean Koehle

Many of you heard Phyllis Schlafly's excellent talk on the "Sellout of America Through the North American Union," given at our 6th Annual Education Conference, March 31, at the Double Tree Hotel in Rohnert Park. (Please read the summary of the conference on page 5. There is also an order form if you would like a CD, DVD, or video of Phyllis' talk or any of the other outstanding speakers.)

Others of you have been receiving the monthly Phyllis Schlafly Report and have been reading her many articles about the NAU and the SPP, the Security and Prosperity Partnership, which is preparing the way for the NAU. The goal is still to have the NAU established by the year 2010.

In preparation for several talks that I have been asked to give on this subject, I put together a 30-page booklet entitled "*Time Line Leading to a North American Union – 1921-2010, and the Parallel to the Formation of the European Union.*" It has helped me to have all the documentation: dates, locations, the people involved, and quotations from their own mouths, stating their dislike for nationalism and their goals for world government done incrementally, piece by piece. It also helps to have an example to learn from, what went on in the formation of the European Union, which was also done very secretly and incrementally. The following is an excerpt from Chapter One:

Government by stealth, government for the elite, government against the people

We no longer seem to have a government that is open and honest with the American people. It is government by stealth. Our representative government "of the people, by the people, and for the people" is fading fast. We instead are becoming a government "of the elite, by the elite, and for the elite," and it seems to be more and more against the people, especially those of the middle class of Americans.

The middle class have always been a pain in the neck to elitist governments, for they are the people who seem to have enough time on their hands to write letters, to make phone calls, to circulate petitions, to demand their rights and that their voices be heard. If you can just do away with that middle class and turn the people all into either rich or poor, then you wipe out that problem. The rich have been bought off, or are part of the governing elite, and the poor are so busy working to eek out the bare minimum of existence, that they have no time or money to bother about keeping a check on government. The elitists are then free to do whatever they want to do, take more and more rights and property away from the poor, raise more and more taxes, and use that money any way they would like – kind of like the feudal system all over again.

Government of unelected commissioners just as with the European Union

If all goes according to plan, the form of government that would be established for a North American Union would be a parliament similar to that of the European Union, a government of unelected Commissioners who are running the parliament, who are not voted in by the people, thus not accountable to them

and are free to do whatever they want to do and are easily corrupted. Yes, each country sends ministers to the parliament, but the Commissioners and their assistants essentially run the show and tell the ministers what they are voting on and how to vote. Then back home in each nation, their parliament merely rubber stamps what the EU is telling them. They have essentially lost their own autonomy.

Britain Held Hostage

Lindsay Jenkins is author of two books exposing the origins of the European Federation and the emergence of the European Union, *Britain Held Hostage and The Last Days of Britain*. She states, "Today, over 80 percent of everything that goes through the House of Commons and the House of Rules, merely rubber-stamps Brussels [the seat of government for the EU], and there is an awfully lot of it. We recently 'celebrated,' if that it the right word, our 40,000th directive coming from Brussels and having attacked central government. Now Brussels is attacking our local government structure. So we have lost, just to recap in the following areas: We have lost 100% (or near enough) of our control of the environment, the British scenery and countryside, and everything that comprises environment – including health and safety regulations. We have lost 100% control of our fishing; 100% or our farming; and we have lost 100% control of our trade policy, and that loss is of particular significance..."[1]

Is it not interesting that the European Union was originally sold to the British and other countries as a free-trade agreement, first an organization to better help in the production and trade of steel and coal, called the ECSC, European Coal and Steel Company. Slowly the ECSC evolved into the European Common Market, sold to the member nations as a better way to enhance trade and to compete with the United States and other big trading countries, and now the 27 countries involved don't even have a say in controlling their own trade policy.

The Great Deception

According to two British authors, Christopher Booker, a columnist for the Sunday Telegraph, and Dr. Richard North, a former research director at the European Parliament, who wrote a book about the EU called *The Great Deception*, the decades-long stealth campaign that gave birth to the EU was a "slow-motion coup d'etat, the most spectacular coup d'etat in history." [2] All mention of political union was suppressed, and if anyone even mentioned that they were concerned about such a union developing, they were scoffed at and ridiculed. Sounds familiar to what is going on with the SPP and the movement to form an NAU today, doesn't it?

The Common Market was sold as "no more than a deal to promote trade and prosperity." [3] Is that not interesting that the planners for the SPP chose that same nice sounding word – "prosperity" - The Security and Prosperity Partnership? As we see with Europe's example, the Common Market and now the European Union has not brought prosperity, just the opposite. The Euro has caused the cost of living to go way up, there is high

(Continued on page 6)

Amnesty for 20 million Illegals Approaches - Citizen "Action" needed

Timetable

The U.S. Congress has scheduled hearings on amnesty bills for illegal aliens. According to Human Events (April 16, 2007) Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid (D.-Nev.) has scheduled the immigration debate for the last two weeks of May. In the House, Speaker Nancy Pelosi's office expects the "STRIVE" ("Security Through Regularized Immigration and a Vibrant Economy") act to be taken up in early July.

In the U.S. Senate

As with the legislative push for amnesty undertaken by both houses of congress prior to the 2006 elections (the Republican debacle), "talk" on the street is betting that the Senate is considerably closer to voting for amnesty, and a sell-out of current American citizens, than the House.

According to [News-Desk@conservativeamerica-online-info.com](http://www.conservativeamerica-online-info.com) "A cabal of 12 Senators is meeting SECRETLY with Secretary of Homeland Security Michael Chertoff and Secretary of Commerce Carlos Guttierrez, hoping to find a way to SURRENDER U.S. sovereignty to ILLEGAL ALIENS and fool the American people." "Just this past week [late March '07], Carrie Budoff of Politico.com, blew the lid off these sneaky meetings..." According to the email, "... they don't want you to know that such a betrayal is going on behind closed doors... But [It's not known who all 12 are]- thanks to Budoff—we do know seven; all of them Republicans: Lindsey Graham of South Carolina, Arlen Specter of Pennsylvania, John Cornyn of Texas, Jon Kyl of Arizona, Johnny Isakson of Georgia, Mel Martinez of Florida and John Ensign of Nevada." Rumors had it that many senators were trading their vote on amnesty for pork barrel projects in their states.

In the House

Human Events has reported that House Speaker Nancy Pelosi has told the Bush Administration (which is behind the push for amnesty) that she needs 70 committed Republican votes in the House before she will let the vote take place on the floor.

This, then, becomes the goal of conservatives and patriots: to prevent the 70 Republican votes from happening.

What's At Stake

The House bill, the "STRIVE" act, contains the following legislation. According to the California coalition for Immigration Reform (CCIR), key points included in the STRIVE bill, (H.R. 1645, as reported by www.NumbersUSA.com) are:

- Grants legal status to nearly all of the "official" 12 million illegal aliens in the U.S. who could "prove" they were in the U.S. before June 1, 2006, pay a \$2,000 fine, a \$1,500 processing fee, pass background checks and learn English."
- Illegals can immediately apply for a "Z visa" allowing them to live, work and travel in the U.S. for 3 years and be eligible for free, taxpayer-funded secondary education and emergency social services. "Z visas" can be renewed indefinitely.
- Includes the DREAM Act. Granting in-state [resident] tuition to illegal aliens to attend colleges and universities.
- Establishes a massive guest-worker plan, importing a minimum of 400,000 illegal aliens into the U.S. to take the jobs of American citizens, naturalized American citizens and legal immigrants.
- Path to citizenship: Grants 6-year amnesty and ability to apply for citizenship, if in compliance with a "touch-back" provision. [A sight seeing trip in Tijuana might qualify for leaving the U.S. and "returning."]
- Amnesty recipients will receive Social Security benefits based on their illegal work.
- Amnesty recipients do not have to pay back taxes for any period before they applied for amnesty.
- Employers are absolved of any civil or criminal tax liability related to prior

employment of illegals seeking amnesty.

- Employers are not required to verify the lawful status of existing employees.
- Allows employers to hire previously deported illegal aliens as "new" workers.
- Prohibits state and local governments from punishing employers who hire illegal aliens. (AND prohibits verification of legal status of renters, prohibits denying of public benefits of applicants or those undergoing background checks.)
- Forbids local law enforcement from assisting DHS (Department of Homeland Security) except in enforcement of criminal immigration laws.
- Does not make members of violent street gangs subject to deportation.
- Gives "refugee" status to any woman [from any country, anywhere] who has "credible fear of harm related to her sex."

According to CCIR, the amnesty will increase the population of the U.S. by 200 million people by mid-century.

What to Do

Concerned Americans and patriots who want to voice their opposition to the amnesty for illegals, and allowing even more to flood the country, need to go to www.numbersUSA.com.

The web site is set up to give suggestions for people who want to take action about the immigration fiasco looming on the horizon. This includes sending faxes and making phone calls to legislators. Specific actions are recommended on the web site—and the information from which the recommendations are made—is given. In other words, a person who wants to oppose the amnesty for illegals, is given current, INFORMED information, and then an avenue (for example, a specific phone number) to use to communicate with various legislators.

“The Basis of International Education”—

Susan O’Donnell

While researching UNESCO—United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, which was formed in 1945—I found there were people promoting “world citizenship” and “international mindedness” decades earlier. One example was in a 1917 issue of the Oregon Teachers Monthly, which quoted a University of Montana president: “The spirit of the New World Order is to be made dominant; the school must lead the way...we must foster a new sense of the unity of the world...we must take seriously that resolution...proclaiming a great new aim—World Citizenship!”

The internationalist movement among the political elite, the formation of powerful tax-exempt foundations, and the centralization of control of the American public school system, all “took off” in the early 1900s.

I found that the man who invented the term “international mindedness,” and spent the rest of his life promoting that ideal, was Nicholas Murray Butler. Only two books were necessary to supply me with more than enough information about Mr. Butler to know that he was both, at the same time, one of the most enthusiastic and hard-

working proponents of internationalism, and one of the most dominant and influential figures in American education.

Nicholas Murray Butler was in Andrew Carnegie’s inner circle. Elihu Root (Secretary of State, president of Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, a founder of Council on Foreign Relations) was a close associate. Butler helped convince Carnegie to form his Endowment for International Peace and thereafter made plans for the Endowment with Carnegie. Butler was an early proponent of European integration. Butler was extremely well-connected socially. He was very fond of the Bohemian Grove encampment each summer and was one of only about ten men named “honorary member.” Butler was a long-time president of the very elite Anglo-American “Pilgrims Society,” of which Carnegie, Root, J. P. Morgan, the Rockefellers, British royalty, and many other such people were members. This information was from Nicholas Miraculous, by Michael Rosenthal, 1996.

Butler the educator was described in Nicholas Murray Butler and Public Education, by Richard Whittemore, published by Teachers College Press, 1970. Butler became head of a domestic/vocational insti-

tution, Industrial Education Association, which developed into the College for the Training of Teachers and eventually, Columbia Teachers College. He was also president of Columbia University. In a series of positions (New Jersey State Board of Education; Paterson, New Jersey local school board; President of the National Education Association; member of Committee on College Entrance Requirement and College Entrance Exam Board) Butler blazed a trail in using his influence to have elected boards replaced by appointed ones, consolidating power in fewer hands, and promoting the use of progressive teaching methods in classrooms.

The influence of Nicholas Murray Butler (perhaps the unacknowledged father of UNESCO) is deeply felt in our education system today through School-to-Work, International Baccalaureate, progressive education methods, promotion of the International Declaration of Human Rights in place of the American Bill of Rights, extensive mental testing, civics lessons that teach children to become citizens of the world, and history textbooks ending with the United Nations as mankind’s best hope.

(Continued from page 3)

Other People Honored at the Education Conference Banquet

Sharon Kientz received the Phyllis Schlafly “Eagle Leader of the Year Award, 2007” for her service leading a successful battle to get the Fresno School Board to vote no on implementing “Teen Screen” in their district.

Jacqueline Kason – “Lifetime Service Award” for the many outstanding conservative articles and books that she wrote and speeches she gave exposing the truth about population control, abortion and other life issues.

Special Thanks to the Members of the Sonoma County Eagle Forum Board for their hard work in planning and putting on the conference. Thanks to all the State Board officers and Directors for their contributions with the lovely baskets for the raffle. We appreciate everyone who helped make it such a successful event.

National Outsourcing and Insourcing

Nancy Thomson

More than 2 months ago a repairman told us we needed a motherboard for our refrigerator. This would be the second time our 3 year -old refrigerator needed a new motherboard replacement. We were told to expect the repair in two weeks, so we bought a very small refrigerator from Wal Mart. Everything was packed in so tightly that when I opened the door food flew out on the floor. Our Samoyed Avalanche would grab some food and rush out to hide her goodies on the Hochi Min trail in the backyard.

Two weeks passed and we received an undecipherable call from a woman saying something about a motherboard. A repairman arrived berating us because our house number wasn't easily read. He asked us for the motherboard. Why would we have the motherboard? It seems the repair items are sent to the customer who then calls an 800 number to contact the repairman. We were never informed about this procedure. Doug called customer-service that connected him with various people in different states. Finally some voice said we would get a call in 48 hours to straighten everything out.

No call came so we went to the refrigerator store. They called a number and Doug got some information this time. It takes a month to get a motherboard. We would receive a call when it was finished. Now it was over 2 months since we threw out \$300 dollars worth of food due to refrigerator malfunction. Another call to customer -service told us the motherboard was lost. I said we wanted 2 motherboards delivered to save us from waiting so long next time for a replacement. The first motherboard would have to be cancelled if another one was ordered they said.

Two more calls announcing the motherboard was on its way proved wrong. Then we were called and told that if this motherboard wasn't found another would have to be manufactured. I wanted to know if we couldn't use someone else's motherboard or a fatherboard!

By chance Doug came home from work at 10 PM and found the motherboard on the front porch. The package mentioned it had been in Indiana and Italy!

No longer is repair and customer -service located within the community where the sale takes place. It is outsourced along with the manufactured product.

While we are busily outsourcing our business, the government is allowing insourcing of thousands of illegal aliens.

Congressman Ed Royce predicated his whole talk at the recent CRA conference warning us about the Flake/Gutierrez 800 page Immigration bill HR 1645. It not only waters down any restrictions on massive amnesty or immigration it includes special privileges for them. Green cards will be increased to 700,000 per year, employment -green cards will increase to

150,000, besides unlimited green cards provided for spouses and children. Illegal alien workers can be favored over Americans. Non-US foreign organizations have the right to grant any woman anywhere in the world the right to come here. All the woman has to do is declare she has a fear of harm related to her sex in the home country.

Once granted amnesty the former illegal aliens will become eligible for billions of dollars in welfare. The list goes on and on. One of the most serious consequences of this legislation sets up the US, Canada, Mexico security perimeter of the NAU (North American Union) Borders between the countries will be eliminated. There will be no US citizenship. The planned new currency will be the "Amerero" and the whole structure patterned after the European Union.

Vincente Fox established the NAU in 2000. The purpose was to create a common North American market with a time line of 20-30 years. US Homeland Security Secretary Tom Ridge and Prime Minister Chretien of Canada discussed the Smart Border Plan. The White House updated this document. US Secretary of State Colin Powell and Canada signed an agreement on military planning.

In 2003, the North American Security and Prosperity Initiative (NASPI) proposed a North American integration of economic and security issues. A year later the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) advocated further integration. A summit meeting of the leaders of the US, Canada and Mexico announced signing of the Security and Prosperity Partnership of North America (SPP)

More action taken by the CFR, Chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee Luger, Homeland Security Chairman Chertof, government officials, and corporate CEOs institutionalized the SPP assuring the work will continue through changes of administrations. Included in these agreements were the NAFTA/GATT International Highway with its rail counterpart and many Highway Corridors. A former Committee Chairman Ed Gillespie, organized a coalition whose agenda furthered the free flow of people in North America.

Also the coalition conducted a political campaign to sell a guest-worker program with a few border -security promises (American Policy Center) No one had a chance to vote on any of these international agreements.

HR 1645 incorporates the alliance with the North American Union. Economic, security, military, and transportation issues contained in this document are reflected in every aspect of US society.

"Much of the social history of the Western world over the past three decades has been a history of replacing what worked with what sounded good." Thomas Sowell

Mental health services and the Virginia Tech massacre

N.S.Lehrman, M.D

More mental health services, and even involuntary mental health screenings, have been proposed to prevent repetition of the Virginia Tech massacre. But mass murderer Cho Seung Hui did get mental health care in a hospital. He then rejected further treatment. The drug-only treatment he got may well have aggravated his disturbance.

Good mental health care is based on continuing, caring human contact: knowledgeable people helping troubled people with problems, while strengthening and reassuring them. Medication, often with little or no meaningful human contact, has now almost entirely replaced that older care pattern. And that's what Cho got. And anti-depressant drugs, like those he was given, can themselves intensify suicidal and homicidal thoughts and behavior.

When considering the effectiveness of mental health services, we should recog-


nize that in the fifty years since drugs began to be psychiatry's main treatment modality, there has been a five-fold increase in the fraction of mentally disabled in the population. Before hurrying to expand mental health services, we should examine more critically the results of current treatment methods.

Nathaniel S. Lehrman, M.D., 10 Nob Hill Gate, Roslyn NY 11576; 516/626-0238; former Clinical Director, Kingsboro Psychiatric Center, Brooklyn NY; former Assistant Clinical Professor of Psychiatry, Albert Einstein and SUNY Downstate Colleges of Medicine

Eagle Forum of California Contact List

- State President: Orlean Koehle (707)539-8393 caleagle@sbcglobal.net.
- Vice President of Issues/Director of Orange County: Bonnie O'Neil (949) 645-4450 <boneil7@adelphia.net>.
- Director of Long Beach/Programs for Southern California: Jeanne Goodin (714) 969-4758 goodin@mindspring.com.
- Vice President of Programs: Joyce Hodgkinsen (707) 578-7227 wj@quixnet.com.
- Vice President of Programs for Northern California:and Immigration Issues: Gary Bosley 510-538-5970 gbinrb@aol.com
- Secretary Dorothy Sheldon 714-368-0801 or 714-393-7799 dorshel@dslextrreme.com
- Treasurer/Membership: Mary Wolbert. dmwolbert@earthlink.net (707) 544-9662
- Newspaper Editors and Web Master: Nancy and Doug Thomson 714) 637-3019 dthomson@earthlink.net.
- Media Specialist: Sharon Hughes 707) 778-7785 hughesglobalnet2@attbi.com.
- Director of Sacramento and Gay Issues: Georgiana Preskar (916) 685-5167 georgiana16@comcast.com.
- Director of Los Altos and Vaccine Issues: Pam Bryant (650)948-9551 <pamelabryant@earthlink.net>.
- Director of San Mateo County Bonnie Soong 650-678-0520 whyistheskyblue99@hotmail.com
- Director of Humboldt County: Sonja Hauxwell (707) 668-5260, <deetbc@quick.com>
- Education: Karen Holgate (916)650-5128, KLHolgate@aol.com
- Susan O'Donnell (510)27-4430 jsood@aol.com,
- Sharon Kientz (559)855-8422 steelekientz@psnw.com.
- Voter Integtity: Sharon Arata (925) 837-8426 <marata01@sbcglobal.net>.
- Eco-Abuse: Eilene Amos (707) 442-4834 smileygop@aol.com.
- National Defense/Security: Nancy Thomson 714) 637-3019 dthomson@earthlink.net
- Life Issues: Bob and Bev Cielnecky (714) 963-4753 LifePriority@cs.com prolife@crusadeforlife.org
- Home Schooling: (707)763-4584 Aimay Krive, ckrive@attbi.com.
- Teen Eagles—Anthony Moreno 707-585-1332 teenleader@eagleforumofcalifornia.com
- Collegian Student Rep: Leah Conde 707-322-7569
- Legislative Director Angela Azavedo (916)693-6183 amazavedo1@juno.com





Eagle Forum of California
PO Box 5335
Santa Rosa, CA 95402
